

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/771,418 02/05/2004		Henrik S. Olsen	PF363C2	4060	
22195 75	90 08/08/2005		EXAMINER		
	NOME SCIENCES INC	BELYAVSKYI, MICHAIL A			
	AL PROPERTY DEPT. GROVE ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ROCKVILLE, MD 20850			1644		
ROCKVILLE,	MD 20850	1644			

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/771,418	OLSEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michail A. Belyavskyi	1644		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. Me The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ___ _. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) \(\subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: -----Claim(s) objected to: -----

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

Claim(s) rejected: 23-36,40-53,57-66 and 70-79.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 37-39, 54-56, 67-69, 80-82

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

<u>Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but have not been found convincing. Applicant arguments addressed amended claims which are not currently entered.</u>

	e Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
--	---

2	Other:	
3 .	 Otner:	

1

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

The proposed amendment to claims 57 and 70 raises the issue of new matter. "An isolated antibody or fragment thereof that specifically binds a FcR-V protein expressed from a cell" represent a departure from the specification and the claims as originally filed. The passages pointed by Applicant do not support "An isolated antibody or fragment thereof that specifically binds a FcR-V protein expressed from a cell". The specification and the claims as originally field only support an isolated antibody, that binds specifically to an FcR-V polypeptide recited in originalaly filed claims 19 and 23.

The genus of "Cells expressing FcR-V" reads on soluble FcR-V and membrane-bound FcR-V, while subgenus "FcR-V protein expressed from a cell" reads only on soluble form of FcR-V. See In re Smith 173 USPQ 679, where it was ruled that a genus may not support a subgenus even though there is a disclosed species within the subgenus.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600